

Hayward campus and the full development of the campus under this plan would not occur until 2030 or even later, future no-project conditions are also projected for certain resource topics, such as traffic, in order to accurately evaluate the impacts of the proposed Master Plan.

4.3.3 Regulatory Setting

The overview of regulatory considerations for each resource topic is organized by agency, including applicable federal, state, regional, and local policies.

4.3.4 Project Impacts and Mitigation Measures

This subsection lists significance criteria that are used to evaluate impacts, followed by a discussion of the impacts that would result from implementation of the project. Impacts are numbered and shown in bold type, and the mitigation measures are numbered to correspond to the impact. Impacts and mitigation measures are numbered consecutively within each topic.

4.3.5 Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures

The State CEQA Guidelines suggest that the analysis of cumulative impacts for each environmental factor can employ one of two methods to establish the effects of other past, current, and probable future projects. A lead agency may select a list of projects, including those outside the control of the agency, or, alternatively, a summary of projections. These projections may be from an adopted general plan or related planning document, or from a prior environmental document that has been adopted or certified, and these documents may describe or evaluate regional or area-wide conditions contributing to the cumulative impact. As the horizon year for the Master Plan is 2030, this EIR evaluates cumulative impacts using projections from the Alameda Countywide Travel Demand Model (ACTDM) and the City of

Section 15130 of the State CEQA Guidelines provides direction regarding cumulative impact analysis as follows:

- An EIR should not discuss cumulative impacts that do not result in part from the proposed project;

-